

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/840,722	MACLEOD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frank W. Lu	1634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Frank W. Lu.

(2) Ram Shukla (SPE).

**Status of Application:** after non-final rejection

(3) Mr. David Parker (Reg. No.32,165).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 August 2006

**Time:** 4 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant agreed with the examiner's amendments.*

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*20, 38, and 60-76*

**Claims discussed:**

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Shukla and Mr. Lu proposed examiner's amendments (see QUAYLE ACTION) in order to allow this instant case, Mr. Parker agreed with the examiner's amendments.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)